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Abstract

Acupuncture can provide therapeutic analgesic benefits but is limited by its cost and scheduling difficulties. Guided imagery is a commonly used method for treating many disorders, such as chronic pain. The present study examined a novel intervention for pain relief that integrates acupuncture with imagery called video-guided acupuncture imagery treatment (VGAIT). A total of 27 healthy subjects were recruited for a crossover-design study that included 5 sessions administered in a randomized order (i.e., baseline and 4 different interventions). We investigated changes in pain threshold and fMRI signals modulated by: 1) VGAIT, watching a video of acupuncture previously administered on the participant's own body at baseline while imagining it being concurrently applied; 2) a VGAIT control condition, watching a video of a cotton swab touching the skin; 3) real acupuncture; and 4) sham acupuncture. Results demonstrated that real acupuncture and VGAIT significantly increased pain threshold compared with respective control groups. Imaging showed that real acupuncture produced greater activation of the insula compared with VGAIT. VGAIT produced greater deactivation at the rostral anterior cingulate cortex. Our findings demonstrate that VGAIT holds potential clinical value for pain management.
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Introduction

Pain is a multidimensional experience associated with real or potential tissue damage (Loeser and Treede 2008). Substantial effort has been invested in the search for effective pain relievers. Unfortunately, available treatments are often unsatisfactory. Opioids are the most commonly prescribed class of drugs for relieving pain (Hudson et al. 2008; Ivanova et al. 2011). However, the addictive potential of opioids has increased their misuse and created a serious national crisis that affects public heath as well as social and economic welfare (Compton and Volkow 2006). There is a clear and urgent need for the development of new pain relief methods.

Guided imagery is a commonly used method for treating many disorders, such as chronic pain (Han 2011; Dasilva et al. 2012; Naylor et al. 2014) and stroke (Garcia-Larrea and Peyron 2007; Zhao 2008). Although its underlying mechanism of action remains unclear, research suggests that the brain responds to imagined experiences in a similar way to actual experiences (Kosslyn et al. 2001; Singer 2004; Ogino et al. 2007; Ochsner et al. 2008; Berna et al. 2012; Meier et al. 2012; Mochizuki et al. 2013;
Christian et al. 2015). For example, the visualization of others experiencing pain can activate brain networks similar to those activated when one directly experiences pain, including the anterior insula, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)/medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), and secondary somatosensory cortex (S2) (Jackson et al. 2006; Singer et al. 2009; Lamm et al. 2011; Rütgen et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2017).

Acupuncture is an invasive, nonpharmacological intervention characterized by the insertion and manipulation of needles at specific body sites. Its potential as a pain analgesic has been widely studied (Zhao 2008). Acupuncture has been found to induce the release of endogenous opioids in the brain stem, subcortical, and limbic structures (Pomeranz 1996; Han 2003; Dougherty et al. 2008). Neuroimaging studies of acupuncture stimulation in humans have shown immediate effects in limbic and basal forebrain areas related to somatosensory and affective functions that are involved in pain processing (Dhond et al. 2007). In particular, studies have shown that acupuncture needle stimulation (Kong et al. 2002; Kong, Gollub, Webb, et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2013; Chae et al. 2013) and the visualization of acupuncture needle stimulation (Cheng et al. 2007) can provoke overlapping activation of particular brain regions, including the insula, middle cingulate cortex (MCC), dorsal ACC (dACC), and periaqueductal gray (PAG). Thus, imagined acupuncture may activate brain regions that overlap with those activated by real acupuncture and may provide similar therapeutic benefits to real acupuncture without the associated cost and inconvenience.

The aim of the present study was to comparatively investigate the analgesic effects of acupuncture and video-guided acupuncture imagery treatment (VGAIT) as measured by pain threshold and underlying brain mechanisms using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Specifically, acupuncture-naive subjects were recruited and randomized to different treatment groups: 1) VGAIT, that is, watching a video of acupuncture previously administered on their own body while imagining it being concurrently applied; 2) a VGAIT control condition, that is, watching a video of a cotton swab touching their bodies and imagining it being concurrently applied; 3) real acupuncture, and 4) sham acupuncture (Streitberger needle; Streitberger and Kleinhenz 1998). We hypothesized that when compared with the VGAIT control and sham acupuncture, 1) both VGAIT and real acupuncture would produce analgesic effects as evidenced by pain threshold increases; 2) VGAIT and real acupuncture would induce fMRI changes in brain regions involved in pain modulation, including the insula, cingulate, and prefrontal cortices; and 3) fMRI activity in the brain regions associated with pain modulation evoked by acupuncture and VGAIT would be related to pain threshold changes following the interventions.

Material and Methods

Participants

A total of 27 healthy, right-handed, acupuncture-naive participants were recruited for the study. Participants were asked not to change their usual daily activities for the duration of study involvement. The study was approved by the Partners Human Research Committee at Massachusetts General Hospital. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and gave written informed consent prior to participating in the study.

Of the 27 healthy subjects who participated in this study, 1 male and 2 female subjects finished the training session but did not complete the first fMRI session. Reasons for these 3 dropouts included scheduling difficulties, discomfort in the fMRI scanner, and report of a migraine before the scan. Thus, 24 participants were included in the final analysis.

Procedures

Subjects participated in 5 experimental sessions. Session 1 was a training and familiarity session designed to minimize anticipatory anxiety to acupuncture by exposing participants to acupuncture. Acupuncture in Session 1 was videotaped for use in a following session. Sessions 2–5 consisted of pain threshold assessments and fMRI recordings during which the participant

Figure 1. Experimental procedure and manipulation points. (a) Study session timeline and description. (b) Two 9-min treatment scans were applied (M stands for needle manipulation). (c) Location of acupoints (dot) and sham points (triangle).
received 1 of the 4 interventions: 1) VGAIT, 2) VGAIT control condition, 3) real acupuncture, or 4) sham acupuncture. Each participant received all interventions; order of interventions was randomized across participants. Each intervention session was separated by at least 7 days to avoid sensitization to the pain stimuli (Fig. 1a). During data acquisition, all study personnel, except the acupuncturist, were blinded with respect to the acupuncture intervention condition. Participants were also blinded to the acupuncture modality. At the end of the study, an investigator debriefed the participant and explained the reason for maintaining intervention blindness.

**Session 1: Training and Testing**
At the beginning of the session, we informed subjects that although acupuncture has been used to treat a number of disorders, including chronic pain, depression, and other disorders, its underlying mechanism remains unknown and this study aims to investigate the brain’s response to different interventions. We also explained that imagery of stimulation may produce sensations and brain activity changes in areas that may overlap with real stimulation. We provided this information so that the patients understood the aim and rationale of the study to facilitate their compliance and cooperation.

Subjects were told that they would receive 2 modalities of acupuncture treatment (real and sham) in random order during the following sessions. We also informed subjects that some investigators think sham acupuncture is more like a nontraditional acupuncture treatment and that they may not be able to tell the difference between the two. Further, we disclosed that many clinical trials have found no significant difference between real and sham acupuncture. To avoid the potential confounding of expectancy, we neither suggested that subjects link the pain threshold assessments with acupuncture analgesia nor told them how long the effects would last.

Participants were then trained to understand and complete the heat and pressure pain threshold assessments. Next, participants received real acupuncture treatment, which lasted approximately minutes and was videotaped for the VGAIT intervention. In addition, a cotton swab was used to repeatedly touch nonacupuncture sites located adjacent to real acupuncture points, which was videotaped for the VGAIT control condition. Finally, participants were introduced to the Massachusetts General Hospital Acupuncture Sensation Scale (MASS), which was used to report sensations experienced during the interventions. Participants were also asked to complete the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) to measure changes in anxiety levels before acupuncture exposure in Session 1 and interventions in Sessions 2–5 (we used STAI-trait in Session 1, and STAI–state in Sessions 2–5) (Spielberger et al. 1970). We also used the Betts’ Questionnaire upon Mental Imagery (BQMI, (Sheehan 1967)) to measure imagery vividness during VGAIT.

**Sessions 2–5: Administration of Treatment Interventions**
Acupuncture procedures were carried out by a licensed acupuncturist. During fMRI scanning, needles were inserted and adjusted to obtain deqi before scanning began. Deqi is an acupuncture term used to describe needle sensation, which is assumed to be associated with the therapeutic benefit of acupuncture. During the course of each intervention, 2 fMRI scans, 9-min each, were performed with a 5-min break between scans during which participants completed the MASS (Kong, Gollub, Huang, et al. 2007; Spaeth et al. 2013). Subjects were told to close their eyes and focus on the sensation around the needle during acupuncture. At the onset of the real and sham acupuncture interventions and prior to the fMRI scan, we specifically asked subjects whether they could feel the needle sensations. We continued manipulation until subjects confirmed that they felt the needle sensation.

**Real acupuncture procedure.** Real acupuncture was applied on the right SP6 and SP9 (Fig. 1c). For each participant, leg position, acupoint location, and needling parameters (1–2 cm depth, 120 rotations/min, 90° insertion angle, moderate deqi sensations on a 0–10 scale) were kept constant. Needles were rotated at one point and then the other in 10-s rotations with 30-s breaks (Fig. 1b). The starting acupoint was randomized across participants but remained the same between the two 9-min fMRI scans.

**Sham acupuncture procedure.** Sham/placebo acupuncture was applied at 2 sham acupuncture points using a specially designed needle, which has a blunt and retractable tip (Streitberger and Kleinhenz 1998; White et al. 2003; Kong, Gollub, et al. 2006). Instead of penetrating the skin, the point of the Streitberger needle retracts up the handle shaft when the acupuncturist presses it against the skin. This sham needle has been validated in studies demonstrating that subjects cannot distinguish between genuine and sham needling (Streitberger and Kleinhenz 1998; Kong et al. 2005). Two sham points were used during placebo acupuncture: sham point 1, which is located about 1 cun (“cun” is a traditional Chinese unit of length used to locate the acupoints; the width of a person’s thumb at the knuckle represents 1 cun) posterior to the superior 1/3 of K9 and K10; and sham point 2, which is located 1 cun posterior to K8 (Fig. 1c). Both sham points are located on the leg where there is no meridian (a pathway in the body through which Qi (life energy) flows). Otherwise, sham acupuncture treatment was applied by gently rotating the sham needle using the same procedure as for real acupuncture.

**Video-guided acupuncture imagery treatment.** At the beginning of the VGAIT session, participants were trained to imagine the acupuncture treatment outside of the fMRI scanner. They were given text to read that introduced the imagery acupuncture treatment as follows: “You will see a video of acupuncture treatment being applied on your leg. Please focus on the needle manipulation and try to imagine there is an actual needle being placed into your leg at the same spot. You will find that you can actually feel the needle manipulation on your leg at the same spot as in the video, as well as some soreness and aching, dull pain along with other sensations. It is very important that you stay focused and try to imagine the sensation of receiving acupuncture as vividly as you can. After the treatment, we will provide a scale to measure the sensations you felt during the video.” Following these instructions, VGAIT was applied while the participant underwent fMRI scanning. There were 2 fMRI scans during VGAIT, each lasting 9 min, as was done during the acupuncture treatment (Fig. 1b). Once the treatment had been completed, participants assessed the sensations felt using the MASS.

**VGAIT control condition.** The VGAIT control condition was the same as VGAIT, except that cotton swabs were used to repeatedly touch nonacupoints and were gently rotated using the same procedure as the real and sham acupuncture treatments (Fig. 1c). Participants were told, “You will see a video of a swab
touching your leg. Please focus on the cotton swab and try to imagine there is an actual swab being placed on your leg at the same spot. You will find that you can actually feel the cotton swab on your leg at the same spot as in the video. It is very important that you stay focused and try to imagine the sensation of the swab as vividly as you can. After the scan, we will provide a scale to measure the sensations you felt during the video." Following these instructions, the VGAIT control was applied during fMRI scanning. Once the control treatment had been completed, participants reported the sensations felt using the MASS.

Brief Quantitative Sensory Testing of Responses to Thermal and Pressure Pain Stimuli

Two pain modalities were assessed using quantitative sensory testing (QST) before and after each treatment intervention. Pain threshold assessments of 2 locations (leg and arm/thumbnail) were conducted 3 times, with the thermode (heat) and algometer (pressure) repositioned between each threshold assessment. We chose 2 pain modalities because heat-evoked pain is predominantly mediated by small, nonmyelinated peripheral nociceptive nerve fibers (C-fibers), whereas pressure-evoked pain is predominantly mediated by small, myelinated peripheral nociceptive nerve fibers (A-delta fibers) (Anast et al. 2009). We tested both local and distal pain thresholds so that we could measure segmental and suprasegmental analgesic effects produced by the different interventions (Coronado et al. 2011).

Contact heat stimuli were delivered using a PATHWAY CHEFS (Contact Heat-Evoked Potential Stimulator, Medoc Advanced Medical Systems) with pain thresholds measured on the medial side of the right knee and left volar forearm. An ascending method, with a rate of increase of 0.5 °C/s from 32 °C was applied (Kong et al. 2013). A study staff member held the thermode lightly on the skin. Participants were required to press a button to indicate when the heat stimulus first became painful, thereby indicating the heat pain threshold. Pressure pain thresholds were assessed using an algometer applied at the medial side of the right knee and left thumbnail. Pressure was gradually increased at a constant rate of 1 kg/s. The participant was instructed to say "stop" to indicate when the sensation first became painful (Schabrun et al. 2014).

Questionnaires

Immediately following each intervention, participants quantified the sensations they felt around the stimulated acupuncture using the MASS (Kong, Gollub, Huang, et al. 2007). After each fMRI scan, the participant rated, using the Expectations for Relief Scale (ERS) (De Pascalis et al. 2002; Wager et al. 2004; Kong, Gollub, et al. 2006), the amount of heat or pressure pain relief that was anticipated from the just-received intervention. The ERS uses a 0–10 scale, with 0 indicating a very negative expectation of "does not work at all" and 10 indicating a very positive expectation of "complete pain relief" (De Pascalis et al. 2002; Kong, Gollub, et al. 2006; Gollub et al. 2018; Kong, Wang, et al. 2018). The MASS was administered at the midpoint and end of each intervention.

fMRI Data Acquisition

Brain imaging was performed with a 3-axis gradient head coil in a 3 T Siemens MRI System equipped for echo planar imaging. A high-resolution T1-weighted structural image was acquired by an isotropic multi-echo MPRAGE pulse sequence, which was collected for anatomic localization of significant signal changes. fMRI images were acquired using a gradient echo T2*-weighted pulse sequence (time repetition [TR]/time echo [TE] = 2000/30 ms, flip angle [FA] = 90°, field of view [FOV] = 192 x 192 mm², 48 AC-PC aligned slices, slice thickness = 3.0 mm with 0.6 mm interslice gap, 90 image volumes per slice, matrix = 96 x 96) and a 32-channel multiarray coil. fMRI data were collected while subjects completed two 9-min fMRI scans, during which intermittent acupuncture, VGAIT, and the corresponding control conditions were applied.

Data Analysis

Analysis of Demographic and Neuropsychological Rating Data

In order to compare the treatment efficacy across the 4 treatments, the perceived pain threshold changes for each treatment were analyzed using a one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). A separate ANOVA was conducted for each of the 4 pain threshold measures. When the treatment main effect was significant, post hoc (Bonferroni-corrected) pairwise comparisons were performed. Demographic and questionnaire data analyses were conducted using the program incorporated in JASP software (Version 0.8.1, http://www.jasp-stats.org).

fMRI Data Analysis

fMRI data processing and statistical analysis were carried out using MATLAB (version 2013b; the MathWorks, Inc.; Natick, Mass) and Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK). Preprocessing included coregistration, motion correction, normalization to Montreal Neurological Institute stereotactic space, and spatial smoothing with a 6-mm, full-width-at-half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

For each participant, the contrast between needle manipulation versus no needle manipulation during acupuncture and sham acupuncture was calculated using a general linear model (GLM). The same procedure was followed for VGAIT and VGAIT control. For VGAIT, the contrast was between watching-and-imagining needle manipulation versus no manipulation. For VGAIT control, the contrast was between cotton-swab-touching versus no manipulation. Group analyses were performed using a random-effects model. A one-sample t-test was performed to compare the fMRI signal changes during manipulation versus no manipulation within each treatment. Thresholds of P < 0.005 uncorrected and P < 0.05 false discovery rate (FDR) corrected were used. We also compared brain activations between the following treatment interventions: real versus sham acupuncture, real acupuncture versus VGAIT, and VGAIT versus VGAIT control.

Multivariate Pattern Analysis

If participants exhibited different analgesic effects from the various interventions, it would be of interest to explore the relationship between the brain activations and analgesic effects across the different interventions. Because pain is related to sensory, affective, and cognitive brain systems (Price 2000; Wiech et al. 2008; Wager et al. 2013), we defined 10 brain regions based on the automated anatomical labeling (AAL) brain atlas as regions of interest (ROIs), including the ACC, MCC, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), mPFC, middle frontal gyrus (MFG), bilateral insula, bilateral postcentral gyrus (S1), supplementary motor area (SMA), and bilateral thalamus. Previous studies have found these regions to be involved in pain modulation (Bornhövd et al. 2018).
2002; Naglatzki et al. 2012; Egorova et al. 2015; Wilcox et al. 2015). We used the beta contrast estimates of all voxels (manipulation vs. no manipulation within the 10 predefined ROIs based on the AAL brain atlas) from GLM analyses as features to associate with the analgesic effects.

Because the 2 acupuncture treatments and VGAIT produced significant analgesic effects, as indicated by the pressure pain threshold change (see Results for details), we used the percentage changes of pressure pain thresholds on the leg and thumbnail as measures of analgesic effects for each subject. For all subjects, the relationship between beta contrast estimates for voxels (independent variables) and changes in pain threshold (dependent variable) was described using a multivariate linear regression (MVLR) model (Wager et al. 2011, 2013; Lindquist et al. 2017). The model was decoded using partial least square regression (PLSR) (implemented by Nonlinear Iterative Partial Least Squares [NIPALS] algorithm) since the number of voxels was much larger than the number of subjects (Tu, Tan, et al. 2016; Tu, Zhang, et al. 2016; Tu et al. 2018). The result was a spatial pattern of regression weights across all voxels within 10 ROIs, and the significance of each voxel was assessed by bootstrap testing with a threshold of voxel level uncorrected $P < 0.005$ (see Statistical Analysis for details) and a small volume correction (within each predefined ROI) with a threshold of cluster level $P < 0.05$.

We also attempted to predict analgesic effects based on brain activities evoked by acupuncture and VGAIT. Please see Supplementary Material for details of the method and results.

Statistical Analysis

To threshold and select the voxels associated with analgesic effects, we constructed 1000 bootstrap samples (with replacement) consisting of paired beta contrast estimates and changes in pain threshold, and ran PLSR decoding analysis on each. A one-sample t-test was performed for each voxel based on the proportion of weights below or above zero and was subjected to small volume correction within 10 predefined ROIs.

Results

Demographic and Neuropsychologic Rating Data

In total, 24 subjects (mean age = 25.2 years, standard error (SE) = 0.77 years, 8 males) completed the study and were included in the data analyses. The mean STAI-state and BQMI ratings (mean ± SE) were 46.33 ± 0.72 and 81.13 ± 4.13, respectively.

Changes in Pain Threshold Between Postintervention and Preintervention

One-way repeated-measures ANOVA revealed that the heat and pressure threshold changes were significantly different among the 4 interventions (heat pain threshold changes on the leg, $F_{3,69} = 2.819, P = 0.045$; heat pain threshold changes on the arm, $F_{3,69} = 3.435, P = 0.022$; pressure pain threshold changes on the leg, $F_{3,69} = 15.07, P < 0.001$; pressure pain threshold changes on the thumbnail, $F_{3,69} = 15.67, P < 0.001$). Post hoc (Bonferroni-corrected) analyses showed a significant difference in pressure pain threshold changes between real and sham acupuncture ($P = 0.003$ for pressure pain on the leg and $P < 0.001$ for pressure pain on the thumbnail), as well as between VGAIT and VGAIT control ($P < 0.001$ for pressure pain on the leg and $P = 0.005$ for pressure pain on the thumbnail). There was no significant difference between real acupuncture and VGAIT ($P > 0.05$ for all 4 pain threshold changes).

Pre- and post-treatment comparisons within each group showed that Real acupuncture significantly increased subject’s pain threshold for all 4 pain threshold measures ($P < 0.05$). VGAIT significantly increased subject’s pain threshold for heat pain on the leg ($P = 0.017$), pressure pain on the leg ($P < 0.001$), and pressure pain on the thumbnail ($P < 0.001$). Sham acupuncture and VGAIT control conditions did not significantly modulate subjects’ pain thresholds ($P > 0.05$).

Paired Student’s t-test analyses revealed that: 1) real acupuncture increased subject’s pain threshold significantly more than sham acupuncture for heat pain administered to the arm ($P = 0.015$), heat pain administered to the leg ($P = 0.023$), pressure pain administered to the leg ($P < 0.001$), and pressure pain administered to the thumbnail ($P < 0.001$); 2) VGAIT increased subject’s pain threshold more than VGAIT control for pressure pain administered to the arm ($P < 0.001$), but not for heat pain administered to the leg ($P = 0.087$) or heat pain administered on the forearm ($P = 0.348$); 3) no significant analgesic effect difference was observed between VGAIT and real acupuncture across all measures ($P > 0.05$). A summary of pain threshold values is shown in Figure 2.

To explore the association between the analgesic effect produced by real acupuncture and VGAIT, we also performed a correlation analysis of pain threshold changes between real acupuncture and VGAIT. We found there was a marginally significant correlation between real acupuncture and VGAIT in pain threshold changes for pressure pain administered to the thumbnail ($R = 0.396, P = 0.055$). There were no other significant associations in pain threshold changes between the real acupuncture and VGAIT intervention.

The STAI-state was administered before each fMRI scan to provide a measure of the participant’s current anxiety level. The mean state anxiety level in the study was 47.79; there were no significant differences for STAI-state scores across the 4 intervention sessions ($F_{3,69} = 1.252, P = 0.298$).

We also assessed the sensations evoked by the various interventions using the MASS. The mean MASS ratings (mean ± SE) for each of the 4 interventions were: 1.46 ± 0.22 for real acupuncture, 0.76 ± 0.12 for sham acupuncture, 0.49 ± 0.10 for VGAIT, and 0.19 ± 0.05 for VGAIT control. Paired Student’s t-test analyses revealed that real acupuncture produced a significantly higher acupuncture sensation compared with sham acupuncture ($P < 0.001$), and there was a significant difference for MASS scores between VGAIT and VGAIT control ($P = 0.026$). There were no significant correlations between MASS, BQMI scores, and threshold change induced by VGAIT or VGAIT control ($P > 0.05$). (Detailed comparisons for each acupuncture sensation for different intervention conditions can be found in Supplementary Fig. S2.)

Following each treatment, the expected relief for that treatment was measured using the ERS. The mean ERS ratings (mean ± SE) for heat and pressure pain, respectively, for each of the 4 interventions were: 3.29 ± 0.34 and 3.83 ± 0.34 for real acupuncture, 3.38 ± 0.41 and 3.29 ± 0.39 for sham acupuncture, 2.79 ± 0.45 and 2.67 ± 0.40 for VGAIT, and 1.63 ± 0.36 and 1.83 ± 0.38 for VGAIT control. For heat pain, ANOVA showed that there was a significant difference in expectancy ratings across the 4 interventions ($F_{3,69} = 14.70, P < 0.001$). A post hoc t-test (Bonferroni-corrected) revealed that there was a significant difference between VGAIT and VGAIT control ($P = 0.003$). For pressure pain, ANOVA revealed that there was a significant
difference in relief expectancy ratings across the 4 interventions ($F_{(0.09)} = 13.51, P < 0.001$). A post hoc t-test (Bonferroni-corrected) indicated a significant difference between real acupuncture and VGAIT ($P = 0.007$). For real acupuncture, there was a significant correlation between relief expectancy ratings and pain threshold changes for pressure pain administered to the leg ($R = 0.461, P = 0.023$); this was the only significant correlation observed.

**Intervention-Evoked Blood Oxygen Level Dependent Responses**

As expected, real acupuncture elicited blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) activations within a wide range of brain regions, specifically the bilateral insula (INS), bilateral supramarginal gyrus (SMG), right caudate (CAU), and BOLD deactivations in the ACC/medial frontal cortex (MFC), right postcentral gyrus (PoCG), and precuneus (PCUN)/PCC. Sham acupuncture evoked BOLD activation in the bilateral SMG and BOLD deactivation in the SMA/MCC, bilateral hippocampus (HIP)/amygdala (AMYG), PCUN/PCC, bilateral precentral gyrus (PreCG)/PoCG, and ACC/mPFC.

Aside from BOLD increases in brain regions associated with visual activity, VGAIT produced BOLD activation in the bilateral PreCG and right IFG and BOLD decreases in the bilateral superior temporal gyrus (STG), HIP/AMYG, SMG, angular gyrus (ANG), SMA/MCC, PCC, PoCG, and ACC/mPFC. During the VGAIT control condition, there were BOLD activations in the right INS, left MFG/PreCG, left SMG, MCC, and bilateral thalamus (THA) and BOLD deactivations in the bilateral HIP/parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), right inferior temporal gyrus (ITG), PCC, PreCG/PoCG, and ACC/mPFC (Fig. 3). (Detailed activations and deactivations for the different intervention conditions can be found in Supplementary Tables S1–S4.)

Because the insula has been widely reported to be modulated by acupuncture and plays an important role during the visualization of others experiencing pain (Jackson et al. 2006; Singer et al. 2009; Rüttgen et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2017), we provided additional results for insula activity during each intervention (Supplementary Fig. S3). All interventions activated the insula, but they varied in level and region of activation: 1) real acupuncture produced the strongest activations in the bilateral insula (cluster level: $P_{FDR} < 0.05$); 2) sham acupuncture and VGAIT produced significant activation in the left anterior insula (voxel level: $P < 0.05$; cluster level: $P < 0.005$ small volume correction); and 3) VGAIT control also significantly activated the bilateral anterior insula (voxel level: $P < 0.005$; cluster level: $P < 0.05$ small volume correction).

**Comparison of Brain Activations Between Different Interventions**

Real acupuncture produced significantly greater BOLD activation in the bilateral insula compared with VGAIT (voxel level:...
$P < 0.005$; cluster level: $P_{FDR} < 0.05$; Fig. 4, upper panel); this activation was significant but less strong when compared with sham acupuncture (voxel level: $P < 0.005$; cluster level: $P < 0.05$ small volume correction; Supplementary Fig. S4). VGAIT produced significant BOLD deactivation in the rostral ACC (rACC) compared with real acupuncture and VGAIT control (cluster level: $P_{FDR} < 0.05$; Fig. 4, upper panel and Supplementary Fig. S4). To elucidate the activation/deactivation levels between different interventions within the clusters identified in the upper panel of Figure 4, we extracted the mean of beta contrast estimates within the left insula, right insula and rACC for each intervention. The results showed strongest activation in the left insula for real acupuncture (contralateral to the stimulation side) and strongest deactivation in the rACC for VGAIT (Fig. 4, lower panel).

### Brain Regions Related to Analgesic Effects

BOLD responses in the insula were related to pain threshold changes measured on the leg and thumbnail for real acupuncture (voxel level: $P < 0.005$; cluster level: $P < 0.05$ small volume correction), while BOLD responses in the rACC were associated with pain threshold changes for VGAIT (voxel level: $P < 0.05$; cluster level: $P < 0.05$ small volume correction) (Fig. 5).

### Discussion

In this study, we tested a complementary procedure for producing analgesia using video-guided acupuncture imagery and examined the underlying brain activations associated with acupuncture and VGAIT. Behavioral findings demonstrate that both real acupuncture...
and VGAIT significantly increased pain threshold, thereby achieving analgesic effects, in contrast to sham and VGAIT control interventions. Brain imaging results indicate that: 1) real acupuncture, compared with sham acupuncture, was associated with BOLD activation in the bilateral insula and (2) VGAIT, compared with VGAIT control, was associated with BOLD deactivation in the rACC. Our results suggest that acupuncture and VGAIT could be a promising complimentary therapeutic approach for relieving pain.

In this study, we found real acupuncture produced segmental (leg) and suprasegmental (arm/thumbnail) heat and mechanical pain threshold increases, while VGAIT produced segmental (leg) and suprasegmental (thumbnail) mechanical pain threshold increases and only a trend ($P = 0.087$) for segmental heat pain threshold. Previous studies suggest that heat-evoked pain is predominantly mediated by small, nonmyelinated peripheral nociceptive nerve fibers (C-fibers), whereas pressure-evoked pain is predominantly mediated by small, myelinated peripheral nociceptive nerve fibers (A-delta fibers) (Angst et al. 2009; Beissner et al. 2010). Our results suggest that VGAIT may be more effective on the A-delta fibers. However, further research is needed to confirm these findings.

The present study found that real acupuncture increased fMRI BOLD signals in the insula. This result is consistent with our previous studies (Kong et al. 2002; Kong, Gollub, Webb, et al. 2007; Dougherty et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2015), in which we investigated fMRI BOLD changes evoked by acupuncture needle manipulation in both healthy individuals and patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA) and found that acupuncture stimulation produced widespread brain activations (insula, parietal operculum [S2]) and deactivations (mPFC, PCC, hippocampus, basal ganglia). Our finding is also consistent with 2 meta-analyses (Huang et al. 2012; Chae et al. 2013) of fMRI signal changes evoked by acupuncture needle stimulation.

The insula is a brain region that integrates sensory and affective information. There is substantial evidence supporting a prominent role for the insula in pain processing (Ogino et al. 2007; Kong, Kaptchuk, et al. 2009; Krishnan et al. 2016). The insula has been found to be the most frequently activated brain region in pain studies using fMRI (Apkarian et al. 2005). Stimulation of the insula, but not other pain regions, has been shown to induce pain perception (Isnard et al. 2011). Brain connectivity between the insula and sensorimotor areas has been found to be disrupted in chronic pain patients (Flodin et al. 2014). Given the well-documented role of the insula in coding experiences and modulating pain perception as well as the findings from our lab (Kong et al. 2002; Kong, Gollub, et al. 2006;
Finally, rACC is a key region in the descending pain modulatory system (Bingel et al. 2006; Kong, Tu, et al. 2010; Li et al. 2016; Kong, Wang, et al. 2018). Previous imaging studies found that the rACC can be activated by opioid analgesia (Adler et al. 1997; Casey et al. 2000). Moreover, findings from studies investigating stimulus-induced analgesia (Garcia-Larrea et al. 1999; Davis et al. 2000), nitrous oxide-induced analgesia (Gyulai et al. 1997), hypnosis-induced change in pain perception (Faymonville et al. 2000), placebo analgesia (Wager et al. 2004; Kong, Gollub, et al. 2006), and acupuncture (Chen et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016) and mind–body (Kong, Wolcott, et al. 2018) treatment of chronic pain support the role of the rACC in pain modulation. Petrovic et al. (2002) suggested that placebo and opioid analgesia may share the same mechanism. The rACC plays a key role in the cortical control of the brainstem through fiber tracts projecting directly to the PAG. From our previous studies, we found that chronic pain patients have abnormal functional connectivity between the rACC and PAG and that this connectivity can be modulated by acupuncture treatment (Egorova et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016). Taken together, these findings suggest that VGAIT may share a similar mechanism with opioid and placebo analgesia.

The different mechanisms underlying motor tasks and imagery, such as dancer’s movements or the thumb-finger movements and actions shown in video clips, have been widely studied using fMRI (Cross et al. 2006; Macuga and Frey 2012; Nedelko et al. 2012). Findings from previous fMRI studies have shown that imagined and observed actions produce similar activation patterns. To our best knowledge, the present study is the first study to have individuals observe an actual acupuncture treatment being performed on themselves and then subsequently imagine this treatment using video-guided imagery for the purpose of reducing pain. Comparing the brain mechanisms that underlie real and imagined treatments may provide insight for the development of new treatment regimens for pain.

In recent years, acupuncture has gained increasing popularity in Western countries, particularly for the treatment of chronic pain. For example, acupuncture has been recommended for the treatment of chronic low back pain in the most recent guidelines from the American College of Physicians (Qaseem et al. 2017). Our findings suggest that VGAIT has an analgesic effect that is comparable to real acupuncture in healthy subjects. VGAIT would seem to have substantial clinical value due to its advantages over real acupuncture, such as its low cost and flexible application. It could provide a low-cost and efficacious adjunctive treatment that could be combined with other conventional or complementary treatments or used independently of other treatments. This may be particularly advantageous for elderly or disabled patients who have limited access to acupuncture treatment and medical care and who could self-administer the treatment at home after completing a real acupuncture treatment session. Furthermore, VGAIT minimizes potential adverse side-effects and contraindications associated with acupuncture. Such contraindications include clotting and bleeding disorders (e.g., hemophilia and advanced liver disease), warfarin use, severe psychiatric conditions (e.g., psychosis), and local skin infections or trauma to the skin.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, the study was conducted with a relatively modest sample of healthy individuals and it is not yet clear whether the findings will extend to patient populations. Future studies are needed to examine the efficacy of VGAIT in chronic pain patients. Second, the present study examined only a single administration of

Figure 5. Brain responses evoked by real acupuncture and VGAIT were related to their analgesic effects. (a) For real acupuncture, BOLD responses in the left insula were related to changes in pressure pain threshold on the leg (left panel), while in the bilateral insula were related to changes of pressure pain threshold in the thumb (right panel). (b) For VGAIT, BOLD deactivations in the rACC were related to changes of pressure pain threshold in the leg (left panel) and thumb (right panel).
VGAIT and did not examine the extent to which the pain relief persisted. Future work should examine whether multiple VGAIT sessions may enhance pain relief and/or extend its benefits. In addition, the sample size for the multivariate pattern analysis analyses we conducted is small; thus, these results must be interpreted with caution. Further research using a larger sample size is needed to validate our findings. Finally, we did not include a final questionnaire that assessed whether subjects could differentiate the sham from real acupuncture intervention. However, we emphasized to participants at the beginning of the study that sham acupuncture is a nontraditional way to perform acupuncture, and that they may not be able to tell the difference between the real and sham acupuncture. Also, we used a Streitberger placebo needle for the sham acupuncture intervention, which has a blunt and retractable tip. Participants could feel the needle press against their skin but could not tell whether the needle tip penetrated. This sham acupuncture has been validated in many studies (Streitberger and Kleinh¨enz 1998; White et al. 2003; Kong, Collub, et al. 2006).

In summary, we found that both real acupuncture and VGAIT can significantly increase pain threshold compared with their respective control groups in healthy subjects. Brain imaging results revealed that real acupuncture modulated the insula, whereas VGAIT modulated the rACC. The development of VGAIT holds potential as a noninvasive treatment for chronic pain.
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